

Hi Cathy,

I will be unable to attend the meeting. I understand I am not fully educated on the complexities of this ordinance, but would like to share a few thoughts/questions.

1. "50 acres" - seems too large.
2. "within 5 feet of seasonal high groundwater" is this adequate?
3. Inclusion of "Class A" , into the Boundary Survey chart, into Table 2 - Noise, and into G - Secured vehicles.
4. In the "refund or reduce review fee" section, for hardship or injustice. If someone wants to mine, and there is already a hardship before starting, why would the ordinance be considered?
5. Is there an inclusion of funds for any resulting contamination: private or public? (I may have missed this)
6. Does the Liability insurance include clean up of toxic waste or any contamination? (Again - I may have missed this)
7. Is the testing and remedying of run off or contamination paid for annually or biannually by the applicant? (again I may have missed this, though I did notice water testing with blasting)
8. Section 6, D, Public Hearing, 5th line down - is a word missing before "than 5 acres"?
9. Section 11: I would suggest there is "No transfer of an existing Permit". Any transfer of property or business should include a new application process so the "new" owner/business can be thoroughly vetted for a Permit.

This is a very thorough ordinance, Thank you all for your time,
Deborah Brown